

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/123,352

Applicant

Yunlong et al

Examiner

Rudy Zervigon

Group Art Unit
1763



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Rudy Zervigon

(3) _____

(2) James A. Oliff

(4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 27, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 17 and 18

Identification of prior art discussed:

None.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Mr. Oliff called to address claims 17 and 18 that were inadvertently left out of the claim rejections in the office action dated 6/19/2000 (paper 12). I informed Mr. Oliff that I would issue a supplemental office action addressing claims 17 and 18 (paper 15). As a consequence, I informed Mr. Oliff that a restart of the time period would be requested. The new three month deadline would then be 12/25/2000.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Rudy Zervigon
Art Unit 1763

Examiner Note You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.